

Peer Evaluation Form

Paige Johnson Tan, Ph.D.

Your Name: _____

Name of Presenters: _____

Issue: _____

Instructions: Above, fill out your name, the names of the presenters, and the issue focus of the presentation. Then, use your best judgment to evaluate the presenters by the rubric below (seven being the best, one the worst). You may add comments in the text box associated with each section if you wish. You may comment on the performance of the group as a whole or on individuals' performance.

1. Subject. Was the presentation informative? Did you learn something you did not know before?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
poor outstanding

2. Research. Was the research comprehensive? Did the student presenters appear to be experts in their issue area? Did they push beyond the bare minimum to create something truly interesting?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
poor outstanding

3. Organization/Visuals. Was the presentation easy to follow? Was there a clear introduction and conclusion? Were the slides appropriate in content and number?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
poor outstanding

4. Preparation and style. Had the presenter/s mastered their material? Did presenters ably field questions from the class? Did presenters maintain eye contact with class members as they were speaking? Any nervous habits you observed which presenters should be aware of for the future?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
poor outstanding

Peer Evaluation Form

Paige Johnson Tan, Ph.D.

Your Name: _____

Name of Presenters: _____

Issue: _____

Instructions: Above, fill out your name, the names of the presenters, and the issue focus of the presentation. Then, use your best judgment to evaluate the presenters by the rubric below (seven being the best, one the worst). You may add comments in the text box associated with each section if you wish. You may comment on the performance of the group as a whole or on individuals' performance.

1. Subject. Was the presentation informative? Did you learn something you did not know before?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
poor outstanding

2. Research. Was the research comprehensive? Did the student presenters appear to be experts in their issue area? Did they push beyond the bare minimum to create something truly interesting?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
poor outstanding

3. Organization/Visuals. Was the presentation easy to follow? Was there a clear introduction and conclusion? Were the slides appropriate in content and number?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
poor outstanding

4. Preparation and style. Had the presenter/s mastered their material? Did presenters ably field questions from the class? Did presenters maintain eye contact with class members as they were speaking? Any nervous habits you observed which presenters should be aware of for the future?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
poor outstanding

