1915/13

From New Views of the Nature of Man, John R. Platt (Ed.), 1965. U. Chicago Press. Reprinted 1966 in Bull. Atomic Sci., J. Sci. & Pub. Affairs 12(7):2-6 and 1967 in Insight: Quart. Rev. Relig. & Ment. Health 5(4): 7-12.

MIND, BRAIN, AND HUMANIST VALUES

by Roger W. Sperry

Science, Antiscience, and Values

As a scientist invited to discuss humanist implications of the brain-behavior sciences, I find myself feeling a little like one who has been asked to mount the stand in self-defense as the accused. As they say back in Grade Two these days, for every action there is an equal and opposing reaction; and the recent sharp boom in science has not come without a corresponding rise in the voices of antiscience. Some of the going complaints in this regard are no doubt familiar: It is not only that science is going to blow us all off the globe, or crowd us off with its programs for death control, but that even the good things resulting from science - the sum total of all the better-things-for-better-living - have failed, we are told, to add substantially to a genuine satisfaction in living. And when it comes to the more profound humanist concerns, the reasons for living and the meaning and the value of it all, science seems only to take away and destroy, they say, and then refuses on principle to answer for its actions or even to be concerned with matters of values.

To some, even the objective explanatory progress that science is supposed to be making toward truth and the great central mystery of the universe begins to look like merely a handy system of humanoid guesses and correlational probabilities with no

ROCER W. Sperny is F. P. Hixon Professor of Psychobiology at the California Institute of Technology.

of the scientists' own thought processes. And then, about as real verification possible. Others liken our explanatory progress science sees man's rating in the grand design going down. fast as our comprehension and control of nature goes up, antilikely to hold exactly nothing or perhaps just the self-reflections innermost chamber of which, should it ever be reached, being to the penetration of a great maze that gets ever bleaker, the

as a red flag in some quarters. Some of us may already realize that any mixing of values and science tends to serve values above and in the title was not accidental, though I well outside the realm of knowledge and understanding. It is like accept this. It seems the same as saying that value judgments lie dent of brain and behavior, I have never been quite able to and YMCA leaders, but not for science or scientists. As a stupopes and prophets, for philosophers and perhaps boy scout heard, lie outside the realm of science. Value matters are for cense for discussions of values? Value judgments, we have all be wondering, Since when do scientists presume to carry a liis riding under false colors in the National Science Foundait comes to problems of values. It is like saying that economics saying that the best method we know of applying the human and, of course, the corresponding value systems that these higher brains, with their wants, needs, goal-directed properties products of evolution that appeared prior to the emergence of that science is able to deal only with those phenomena and tion and ought to be exposed and expelled. And it is like saying brain to problems of understanding must be discarded when Before going on, I had better explain that the reference to

tation on a model basis these days, with computer assistance and analysis and perhaps prediction and even some experimen tems, and the perturbations thereof, ought to be subject to study ent and interrelated in logical, hierarchical systems. These syshaps much good in the long run might not come from opening I have always wondered whether rather little harm and per-Values have natural and logical origins. They are interdepend

MIND, BRAIN, AND HUMANIST VALUES

most revered of our traditional and cultural values to the free winds of scientific skepticism and inquiry even the

Humanist Impacts of Behavioral Science

ences during the past half-century must seem to the humandevelopments in the sciences that deal with mind and brain? ample, before science, man had reason to believe that he posantiscience can raise in this area are not exactly trivial. For exist to read less like a list of contributions and advancements At first glance the record achieved by the brain-behavior sci major impacts, from the humanist standpoint, of the recent spiritual components of human nature, including the immortal only with the conscious mind but with most of the other gy and the neurosciences in general would divest the human "consciousness." Our modern experimental objective psycholosessed a mind that was potent and full of something called than like a list of major criminal offenses. The accusations that all obeying the inexorable and universal laws of physics and and negative feedback, composed entirely of material elements. ence now shows us a complex biophysical machine with positive there used to be purpose and meaning in human behavior, scian illusion and gives us, instead, causal determinism. Where free agent, possessing free will. Science tells us free will is just soul. Before science, man used to think that he was a spiritually brain of this fantasy and, in doing so, would dispense not chemistry. Thanks to Freud, with some assistance from astromuch of man's formalized religion to little more than manifestations of neurosis. Freud's devastating indictment is said by many to have reduced thinking man of his Father in heaven, along with heaven itself. physics, science can be accused further of having deprived the We can now turn to our main question, What have been the

trailing instead clouds of jungle-ism and bestiality, full of carna ter. Thanks to Darwin, and to Freud again, man now enters this life, not trailing clouds of glory, as the poet once had it, but Man's inner self and his heritage have not fared much bet-

show through. These and related lesser onslaughts on the work and the meaning of human nature tend to add up, one their calculation is not the work and the meaning of human nature tend to add up, one their cantioring another, to yield a pretty dim over-all picture than according think about it. Doubt and rejection of science we generally don't think about it. Doubt and rejection of science we generally don't think about it. Doubt and rejection of science we generally don't think about it. Doubt and rejection of science we generally don't think about it. Doubt and rejection of science we generally don't thinkers in favor of other roads to truth is not have a understand; and even for the scientist himself, the picture grawn by science imposes a severe test of his credo that it is been to know the truth, however ugly, and to live in accordance than to live and die by false premises and illusory values.

would seem to be the centermost issues, hoping that if the central sultant crumbling in the upper structures will become evident foundation of the materialist view can be undermined the rethese remarks, and so I will try to concentrate selectively on what gosa: There is not space here to present the whole story behind related matters, I suspect that he has been taken, that science ern staterialism over the older idealistic values in these and ences. When the humanist is led to favor the implications of moding Opective, materialistic approach of the brain-behavior scihas zad society and itself a somewhat questionable bill of my apporthetical working model for the brain to be in marked hunser, nature that seems to emerge from the currently prevaildisagraement with many, if not the majority, of the foregoing implications especially and with that whole general picture of in a Astrion to speak with some conviction - I find myself and too Semiliar with matters ethical and philosophical and hence But for myself, speaking as a brain researcher - and one not

The Nature of Consciousness: The Central Issue

Most of the disagreements that I have referred to revolve around, or hinge either directly or indirectly upon, a central point of controversy that emerges from the following question: Is it prescribe, in theory or in principle, to construct a complete,

objective explanatory model of brain function without including consciousness in the causal sequence?

objective explanatory model, then we come out with materiand philosophies have foundered ever since man started to old problem of mind versus matter, the issue of the spiritual verout at the opposite pole, or at mentalism, and with quite a difevents in the brain and, hence, do have to be included as imnerve-impulse traffic and other biochemical and biophysical outer "real" world. sus the material, on which books and books have been written ferent and more idealistic set of values all down the line. We deal portant features in the objective chain of control, then we come that conscious mental forces do in fact govern and direct the sciousness and mental forces in general can be ignored in our here, of course, with the old mind-body dichotomy, the agealism and all its implications. On the contrary, if it turns out think about his inner world and to question its relation to the If the prevailing view in neuroscience is correct, that con-

Let us begin by stating the case against consciousness and mind as raised by today's objective experimental psychology, psychobiology, neurophysiology, and the related disciplines. The best way to deal with consciousness or introspective, subjective experience in any form, they tell us, is to ignore it. Inner feelings and thoughts cannot be measured or weighed; they cannot be centrifuged or photographed, chromatographed, spectrographed, or otherwise recorded or dealt with objectively by any scientific methodology. As some kind of introspective, private, inner something, accessible only to the one experiencing individual, they simply must be excluded by policy from any scientific model or scientific explanation.

Furthermore, the neuroscientist of today feels he has a pretty fair idea about the kinds of things that excite and fire the nerve cells of the brain. Cell membrane changes, ion flow, chemical transmitters, pre- and post-synaptic potentials, sodium pump effects and the like, may be on his list of acceptable causal influences—but not consciousness. Consciousness, in the ob-

causal picture. It is relegated to the inferior status of (a) an inspectable scientific laws of physics, chemistry, physiology, and cal and physical phenomena, with all elements moved by rebrain as a complex, electrochemical communications network, aspect of the one material brain process. Scientists can see the outsider on the inside), or most commonly, (c) just an inner consequential by-product, (b) an epiphenomenon (a sort of jective approach, is clearly made a second-rate citizen in the causal machinery of any mental or conscious forces. the like; but few are ready to tolerate an interjection into this full of nerve impulse traffic and other causally directed chemi-

alistic, behavioristic, fatalistic, reductionistic view of the nawhich comes today's prevailing objective, mechanistic, materispreads, and though never officially imposed on the societies of ture of mind and psyche. This kind of thinking is not confined to our laboratories and the classrooms, of course. It leaks and of creeping materialism everywhere we turn. the Western world, we nevertheless see the pervasive influence This is the general stance of modern behavioral science out of

this way, I think we must all agree that neither is going to win understood. They are so far beyond our comprehension at preswhich consciousness is presumably associated are simply not to come close. Those centermost processes of the brain with facts simply do not go far enough to provide the answer, or even the match on the basis of direct, factual evidence. The to believe that this language is built of nerve impulses and recal language of the cerebral hemispheres. There is good reason nature. We are speaking here of the brain code, the physiologient that no one I know of has been able even to imagine their code is built of spatiotemporal patterns of excitation. But when cells in the brain by about ten to one. And we would probably also in those glia cells that are said to outnumber the nerve it comes to even imagining the critical variables in these patbe safe in the further noncommittal statement that the brain lated excitatory effects in nerve cells and fibers and perhaps Once we have materialism squared off against mentalism in

conscious experience, we are still hopelessly lost. terns that correlate with the variables that we know in inner

is very aptly referred to as the "mysterious black box." messages first reach the cortical surface of the brain, still today realm, starting at the stage where the incoming excitatory distal portion of the motor outflow. But that great in-between reasonably satisfactory for the sensory input pathways and the logical unknowns. Our explanatory picture for brain function is input and output sides of the brain by further zones of physioconsciousness seem to be rather well cushioned on both the Furthermore, the central unknowns directly associated with

cent of us, I suppose - that conscious mental forces can be conviction held by most brain researchers — up to some 99.9 per stand of Carl Rogers that man's inner experience must be recogof two separate phenomenal realms in the brain, and there is the acceptance by Charles Sherrington of the possible coexistence "last rite," respect paid to the psyche. For example, there is the and there in the literature a modicum of some final, perhaps ence that goes far beyond the objective evidence and hence is is thus seen to rest, in fact, on an insupportable mental inferwhich tends to be identified with a rigorous scientific approach, tion. The objective, materialist doctrine of behavioral science, well beyond the facts into the realm of intuition and speculaplace in filling this gap in our explanatory picture is at least to go safely ignored, insofar as the objective, scientific study of the the dualists are quite prepared to go along these days with the lasting paradox with which we all must learn to live. But even nized as well as the brain mechanism of objective psychology founded on the cardinal sin of science. One can still find here brain is concerned. In the existence of two such very different realms, Rogers sees a To conclude that conscious, mental or psychic, forces have no

An Alternative Mentalist Position

0.1 per cent or so mentalist minority in a stand that admittedly In the pages that follow, I am going to line myself up with the also goes well beyond the facts. It is a position, however, that

tional) properties of the living brain in action. There are usually and consciousness are dynamic, emergent (pattern or configurament is simple and goes as follows: First, it contends that mind the physiological and biochemical events in the brain? The argurecognized to be the crowning achievement of some five hundred plenty of "takers" on this first point, including even some of the ment that holds that ideas and other mental entities push around million years or more of evolution. It is a brain model in which conscious mental psychic forces are beside it. It is a scheme that idealizes ideas and ideals over physand the physical and chemical processes as much as or more give the orders, and they push and haul around the physiology and consciousness are put in the driver's seat, as it were: They ical and chemical interactions, nerve impulse traffic, and DNA. puts mind back over matter, in a sense, not under or outside or than the latter processes direct them. This scheme is one that Now, what is the argument in favor of mentalism, the argu-

the midst of the causal interplay of cerebral mechanisms. Mind nomenon, or inner aspect, is located front and center, directly in this scheme, far from being put aside as a by-product, epiphebe sadly incomplete and unsatisfactory. The conscious mind in as an active, operational force. Any model or description that quence and chain of control in brain events, in which it appears real causal agent and rates an important place in the causal semodel, conscious awareness does get representation as a very seems to me equally strong and somewhat more appealing than leaves out conscious forces, according to this view, is bound to those we have just outlined. In my own hypothetical brain

a matter, in other words, of straightening out the peck-order simply, it comes down to the issue of who pushes whom around subject has at times been a bit complicated. To put it very is, they are hauled and pushed around by the larger spatial and and kept in line by their atomic overseers. The atomic nuclei great energy, all within the neutrons and protons of their resixty or more types of subnuclear particles interacting with most levels in this system, we have local aggregates of some other cubic half-foot of universe that we know. At the lowermore, there are forces within forces within forces, as in no the cranium a whole world of diverse causal forces; what is configurational forces of their encompassing molecules. turn. The various atomic elements are "molecule-bound" - that and associated electrons are also, of course, firmly controlled in can pretty well forget them, because they are all firmly trapped much to say about what goes on in the affairs of the brain. We spective atomic nuclei. These chaps, of course, do not have very hierarchy among intracranial control agents. There exists within in the population of causal forces that occupy the cranium. It is But let us spell out this answer a little further, since this whole

given cell, by the over-all dynamic and spatial properties of the parts and their neighboring molecular partners, the brain molemuch to say about when they are going to fire their messages whole cell as an entity. Even the brain cells, however, with their space that is very largely determined, for the lifetime of any cules are obliged to submit to a course of activity in time and tissues. Along with all of their internal atomic and subnuclear well bound up and ordered around by their respective cells and ing orders for the day come from a higher command. for example, or in what time pattern they will fire them. The fir long fibers and impulse-conducting properties, do not have very Similarly, the molecules of the brain are themselves pretty

governed largely by the over-all encompassing properties of the fibers are incorporated, and also by the relationship of this cirwhole cerebral circuit system, within which the given cells and hrough any brain cell, or even a nucleus of cells in the brain, are In other words, the flow and the timing of impulse traffic

so simple as yesterday's solution, nothing so complicated as to

enigma of consciousness. Who was it who said, that nothing is universe. And there we have the simple answer to the age-old the brain have causal potency — just as they do elsewhere in the

morrow's problems

critical step farther and insists that these emergent properties in

ing neuroanatomist, C. J. Herrick. Second, the argument goes a tough-minded brain researchers, as, for example, the outstand

closing, repressing, or inhibiting endless other circuit potential ebral facilitatory "set." This set is a shifting pattern of central erties of the whole brain — may undergo radical and widespread fic throughout the system-that is, the general circuit prop erties of the cerebral system as a whole, and the way in which of feeling, or a new insight. To make a long story short, if one for such things as a shift of attention, a turn of thought, a change pulse traffic. These changes of "set" are responsible, for example with its own special pattern properties, while at the same time excitation that will open or prime one group of circuit pathways changes from one moment to the next with just the flick of a cer these properties direct and govern the flow of impulse traf cuit system to other circuit systems. Further, the dynamic prop tivity. And this brings us close to the main issue. excitation that are correlated with mental states or psychic acforces and dynamic properties of the large patterns of cerebral brain, one finds at the very top those over-all organizational keeps climbing upward in the chain of command within the ities that might otherwise be open and available for im-

still there. It will be easier, however, by using this example, for in a phantom limb is no easier to bear than that in a limb that is was amputated above the elbow some months previously. You talking about pain in the fingers and thumb of the left hand, and another example, pain. To be more specific, let us say we are old favorite, the color red (the philosophic and geographic let us consider an elemental sensation. Instead of philosophy's us to infer where our conscious awareness does not reside. will recall that the suffering caused by pain localized mentally let us pin it down further to pain in the left hand of an arm that locus of which seems sometimes to be in some doubt), let us use lustrative example of one of these mental entities. For simplicity We can take this argument a step further by looking at an il-

any groans it may elicit from our patient and any other response sult of the pain sensation are indeed caused not by the measures or behavioral outputs that may be taken to be the re-In regard to the pain in a phantom limb, my contention is that

MIND, BRAIN, AND HUMANIST VALUES

of this pain pattern as a pattern that is critical in the causal seexplain the pain pattern or any other mental qualities only in sized cerebral system. It is this over-all pattern effect in brain qualitative effect of which must be conceived functionally and instead of something else. It is the over-all functional property that unique patterning of cerebral excitation that produces pain and the same is true of any other sensation. What is critical is excitations are just as common to pleasure, of course, as to pain, se. This brings us, then, to the real crux of the argument. Nerve pulses as such, but by the pain quality, the pain property, per it will be describing, in effect, the conscious force or property mental experience in the functional terms and setting required, practical. Moreover, by the time science arrives at a point where tion becomes feasible in theory, probably, but fantastically imships. By including the spatiotemporal relations, such a descriptron, proton, and neutron and their subnuclear particles plus to biochemistry wholly in terms of the properties of the elecany of the endless variety of complex molecular reactions known ties themselves, would be as formidable as trying to describe without reference to the mental properties and the mental qualidynamics that is the pain quality of inner experience. To try to operationally and in terms of its impact on a living, unanesthequence of brain affairs. This pattern has a dynamic entity, the biophysics, chemistry, or physiology of the cerebral nerve imrecognized as such, and we shall be calling it just that - or at it can describe the critical details of the impulse pattern of a terms of the spatiotemporal arrangement of nerve impulses, itself. When we reach such a point, the conscious force will be (and this, of course, is critical) their spatiotemporal relationleast that is the hypothesis I am putting forward.

ogy. The Gestalt schools of psychology and philosophy went sion on the emergent concepts of T. H. Morgan and the correstheir pattern properties directly from the outside world and wrong only when they moved into the brain and tried to transfer ponding configurational and field concepts of Gestalt psychol-Many readers will note my reliance throughout this discus-

in terms of the still unknown brain code. a functional pattern that has to be worked out in entirely new of "isomorph," as the Gestalt schools tried to make it. It is rather ple surrounding envelope, or volume property, or any other kind terms, that is, in terms of the functional circuitry of the brain, conscious force within the brain, as visualized here, is not a simsensory surfaces into the cerebral cortex. The central, emergent

mal operation at subsidiary levels. proper function in the uppermost levels always depends on nor and the synaptic junction. We must remember further that physiological laws still apply at the level of the cell, the fiber, sion of nerve impulses, all of the usual electrical, chemical, and entities. We must remember in particular that, for the transmisas it were, by those forces of successively higher organizational simpler, more primitive, electric, atomic, molecular, cellular, level forces and properties have been superseded, encompassed continue to operate. None has been cancelled; but these lower and physiological forces remain present, of course, and they all described, it is important to keep in mind the fact that all of the When trying to visualize mental properties as they have been traffic, including its electrochemical and biophysical aspects. ing mental forces that direct and govern the inner impulse namic entities that call the plays. It is exactly these encompasstion, and all the rest. In the onward flow of conscious brain states, one state calling up the next, these are the kinds of dyof perception, emotion, reason, belief, insight, judgment, cogniwe find, of course, the more complex but equally potent forces Above simple pain and other sensations in brain dynamics,

brains, and thanks to global communication, in far distant, forand with other mental forces in the same brain, in neighboring ideas and help evolve new ideas. They interact with each other real as that of a molecule, a cell, or a nerve impulse. Ideas cause here, the causal potency of an idea, or an ideal, becomes just as chimpanzee has ideas and ideals. In the brain model proposed turn to more humanistic concerns — we find ideas. Man over the Near the apex of this command system in the brain-to re-

MIND, BRAIN, AND HUMANIST VALUES

far beyond anything to hit the evolutionary scene yet, including ings to produce in toto a burstwise advance in evolution that is eign brains. And they also interact with the external surroundthe emergence of the living cell.

a complete description of his neighbor's mind or from passing has described, on this description to other neighbors, excepting only the one he this kind of logic does not prevent a man's mind from acquiring complete. Underline also that word "itself" and remember that such statements, however, always underline that word "comis that no machine, living or otherwise, can logically embody within itself a complete description of itself. When you read ties that still remain even though they fall somewhat short of pable of explaining itself in terms of its own ideas; the argument great obstacle in principle to the eventual objective, scientific plete" and then consider the extent of the explanatory possibilitreatment of mental phenomena. One may see statements in the plexity and adequate technology, there would seem to be no enon for scientific investigation. Aside from problems of comliterature these days discouraging the hope that the mind is caindirectly at this date becomes, in principle, a proper phenom-In the proposed scheme, the interplay of psychic and mental forces, though accessible — like the interior of the earth — only

right" and "mind-left." When the midline disconnection is complete, two separate mentalities are the result, which sense, nections, coupling selected cerebral centers between "mindmonkeys have been bisected down the midplane into right and left halves. In the surgical process, we may leave a few cross-conmentally in recent studies in which the brains of cats and ever, we do seem to be approaching exactly this situation expericialized cerebral circuitry involved. This does not look very in parallel to the emitting brain and wired directly into the spebe necessary for the detector brain in the observer to be coupled feasible under ordinary conditions for the near future. Howthe subjective qualities in an observed brain, it would seem to For an outside, second, brain, however, to directly experience

ROGER W. SPERRY

perceive, learn, and remember independently. Each half seems to have its own realm of conscious awareness, and each is apparently as much out of contact with the inner experience of the other as are two brains in separate skulls. But when a band of cross-connections is left intact, linking, for example, the right and left centers for vision or those for touch sensibility in the hands, the inner, mental, subjective experience of the one brain seems to become available to the other.

describe their source. has no idea why it has these inner feelings and is unable to whatever the case may be. The second hemisphere, however, this second hemisphere also feels properly embarrassed - or other half of the brain (that is, the one not directly excited) that geometric pattern stimuli being projected into only one brain pected pin-up picture of a nude into a sequence of ordinary tion is triggered through vision by the introduction of an unexthe emotional experience of the other. For example, if an emoponding experiences of mind-left, each brain half seems to share these people seem to be entirely out of touch with the corresperceptual, mnemonic, and related experiences of mind-right in centers involved in emotion and feeling. Whereas the cognitive, cross-connections have been left intact between the lower brain half, it is quite apparent from the verbal readout through the hemispheres for medical or therapeutic purposes and in whom patients who have had a similar surgical disconnection of the Something of the kind can also be seen in studies of human

Looking back from this point, you will note that the earlier basic distinction or dichotomy between mentalism and materialism is resolved in this interpretation, and the former polar differences with respect to human values, when recast in the present scheme, become mainly errors of reductionism. This may be easily recognized as the old "nothing but" fallacy; that is, the tendency, in the present case, to reduce mind to nothing but brain mechanism, or thought to nothing but a flow of nerve impulses. For those acquainted with theories of mind, the new twist here, if any, is to be found in the attempt to make the emergent properties of inner experience conform to the inner brain

MIND, BRAIN, AND HUMANIST VALUES

code, rather than to the outside world or subjective impressions or sensory patterns; combined, of course, with the critical interjection of these mental qualities into the causal sequence. Note that we have not rejected the objective approach of science; it is an objective explanatory model that we are discussing. Our quarrel is not with the objective approach but with the long accepted demand for exclusion of mental forces, psychic properties, and conscious qualities — what the physicist might class as "higher-order effects" or "co-operative effects" — from the objective scientific explanation.

successful as a tactical expedient for a developing science. It is scious mental forces in objective experimental psychology durwhich to build a body of philosophy, this theory tends to favor since the days of Plato. When used as a conceptual skeleton on can say, in summary, that the denial or downgrading of conman and existence. As for the older materialist doctrine, one somewhat a single "this world" measuring stick for evaluating world and its inner cerebral representation, another conundrum deplored in leading articles in Science and elsewhere. Moreover, objective science and in a position of top command. If correct, losophy and cultural values hardly a doctrine, however, on which to build societal phiing the past half-century has been tremendously valuable and between mind and brain but also for that between the outside this scheme suggests a possible answer not only for the relation human nature, the kind of view whose lack has recently been long sought unifying view on which to base our conception of and the paradoxes, proposing instead a single unified system exit would eliminate the old dualistic confusions, the dichotomies ideas at the top. As a scientific theory of mind, it would provide a tending from subnuclear forces near the bottom up through The present scheme would put mind back into the brain of

Free Will

Let us shift gears at this point and consider another outcome of the mind-brain sciences that appears to run a close second

psychiatrist's couch, from microelectrode recording, or from vance in the science of behavior, whether it has come from the it Number 1) is the scientific rejection of free will. Every adin its threat to cherished images of human nature. This humanof us has ever engaged could not possibly have had any other and related events. This means that any decision in which any research, I work on the assumption that every apparently free picion that free will is just an illusion. Like most others in brain or the electron microscope, seems only to reinforce that old susthe use of psychotropic drugs, brain splitting, Skinner boxes ist "Enemy Number 2" to which I refer (some would rate mental choice that I or anyone else has ever made must in fact moment. It means that we are now and always have been imoutcome. It means none of us, hearing or reading these words have been causally predetermined in the preceding brain states determinism. prisoned, as it were, in the inexorable onward march of causa had any real chance to be doing anything else at this particular

Attempts to restore free will to the human brain by recourse to various forms of indeterminacy — physical, logical, emergent, or otherwise — have failed so far as I can see to do more than introduce a bit of unpredictable caprice into our comportment that most of us would prefer to be without. Neither science nor philosophy seems able to refute the old admonition that "the moving finger writes; and, having writ, moves on." And piety, wit, and tears still seem impotent to change this situation. I do not feel overly comfortable about this kind of thinking any more than anyone else, but as yet I have not found any way out of it.

But before we start drawing gloomy humanist deductions from this apparent inevitability, concluding that moral responsibility is thereby removed or, on the other hand, simply rejecting science and determinism on emotional grounds, we should bear in mind a few additional points. In the present scheme, these points add up to the conclusion that if we really did have freedom of choice we might very likely prefer not to have; that is, we might well prefer to leave determinism in control, exactly as

MIND, BRAIN, AND HUMANIST VALUES

actions. This scheme thus allows a high degree of freedom from able freedom from lower-level natural impulses and even from outside forces as well as mastery over the inner cellular, molecmodel described here, man is provided in large measure with science postulates. It should be clear by now that in the brain causal influence upon any mental decision, and the combined effects of his own thoughts, his own reasoning, his own feeling present model does not do is to free a person from the combined his own decision-making machinery. In particular, what this This does not mean, however, that he is free from the forces of he is going to do from among a large number of possibilities. large extent, a person does determine with his own mind what we think of free will as self-determination. To a very real and ualized here does indeed give man plenty of free will, provided from the whole complex. In other words, the kind of brain visoccasional thoughts, beliefs, and the like, though not, of course, state of one's will power, the model also allows consider ular, and atomic aspects of brain activity. Depending on the the mental forces and the mental ability to determine his own ing freedom from our own minds, from our own selves, and to the question, Do we really want free will, in the sense of gainresultant determines the inevitable but self-determined and including, yes, unconscious desires, exert in the brain their due inherited makeup or his lifetime memories. All these and more, hence, from everything most precious that makes us us? his own beliefs, ideals, and hopes, nor does it free him from his highly special and highly personal outcome. We thus come back

There is a bit more to the story of how one may "learn to stop worrying about freedom and come to love determinism," but it boils down to the old saying, "If you can't lick 'em; join 'em." Or as Confucius might have said, "If fate inevitable, relax and enjoy." Or to put it more directly, "There may be worse fates than causal determinism." Maybe after all it is better to be imbedded firmly in the causal flow of cosmic forces, as an integral part thereof, than to be on the loose and out of contact with these forces—free-floating as it were—with behavioral

versal causal contact - in other words, contact with all related (let us say the perfect all-wise decision-making machine to top all competitors' decision-making machines), it is possible information in proper proportion, past, present, and future. to try to incorporate into your model the potential value of unifree the machinery from causal contact as the opposite; that is, promises. I suspect, in fact, that if you were assigned the or any reliability when it comes to future plans, predictions, or that you might decide that your aim should not be so much to task of trying to design and build the perfect free-will model possibilities that have no antecedent cause and hence no reason

model Orangutan. slime mold, the Jurassic sand dollar, or even the latest 1965 a very long jump in the direction of freedom from the primeva comes out is still predetermined. Nevertheless, it still represents on Galaxy Nine, and maybe, in spite of all, any decision that quite up to the kind of thing that evolution has going for it over total falls a bit short of universal causal contact, maybe it's not cast and predictive value extractable for all this data. Maybe the and collected wisdom of most of a human lifetime. We can also foregoing, thanks to reason and logic, much of the future foreinclude, potentially, given a trip to the library, the accumulated cisions. Potentially included, thanks to memory, are the events sional, intracranial vortex draws into itself, scans, and brings to amount and the kind of causal factors that this multidimenknowledge of all recorded history. And we must add to all the way in evolution in exactly this direction when you consider the bear on the process of turning out one of its pre-ordained de-In any case, it is clear that the human brain has come a long

one's hopes either for extrasensory perception or for post-mortem perception. Similarly, pre-partum perception in the embryc there is little in our proposed model for consciousness to bolster We may note in passing that on the debit side of the ledger

MIND, BRAIN, AND HUMANIST VALUES

site cerebral machinery for conscious awareness has begun to attain functional maturity in the later months of fetal life and in may be presumed not to amount to much until after the requisubsequent postnatal development.

Plasticity of Human Nature and Inheritance of Behavior Traits

decades in our general conception of human nature. These adsubject to shaping by experience and environment. be inherited and the extent to which human nature is plastic and vances have concerned the extent to which behavior traits can that have resulted in important revisions during the past two briefly certain other advances in the brain-behavior sciences Finally, in connection with development, I must mention

ence of Pavlov, and which appeared soon afterward in this counexperience. The objective, materialist movement in psychology. gradually channelized from early fetal movements onward by about twenty years ago, the view prevailed that the brain gets cessive conditioned-reflex associations, starting in the infant which was established first in Russia, largely under the influfunctional trial and error, practice, conditioning, learning, and functionally unstructured, a blank slate, as it were, which is then its start in fetal life as an essentially equipotential network anger. The whole idea of the genetic inheritance of behavior was believed to develop gradually out of a life-long chain of sucfication of consciousness. In this doctrine the mind, or psyche, of the conditioned response as it has with the demotion and vilibeen identified almost as much with the promotion and idolatry try, pioneered by Watson, under the name "behaviorism," has connections was held to be unimportant anyway for orderly non-selective and diffuse, and the establishment of precise fiber almost equalling that of consciousness. In those days, the emcame highly discredited in professional circles, its defamation patterns was forcibly renounced, until the term "instinct" befrom a few elementary reactions, like love and hate, fear and bryonic growth of brain pathways was believed to be by nature Through most of the first part of this century and up until

This paragraph and the preceding one are taken almost verbatim from tion: James Arthur Lecture of the Evolution of the Human Brain (New an earlier article, Problems Outstanding in the Evolution of Brain Func-York: American Museum of Natural History, 1964).

surgery, injury, and regeneration without disrupting orderly to be able to undergo radical and wholesale rearrangement by endowed with an almost mysteriously omnipotent plasticity and function. In the scientific thinking of those times, the brain was function. The nerve connections, once laid down, were thought sired mold. conclusion that it would be possible, by means of an appropriate us through the twenties and thirties and into the early forties readaptation capacity. In general, science seemed to be telling human nature, and hence society, within wide limits into a deprogram of training and environmental conditioning, to shape in their malleability. It seemed at that time a scientifically sound that the human brain and human nature as well were extreme

diffuse, non-selective growth of nerve connections in brain dea current stand that is almost diametrically opposed to the earthis view has since suffered a series of severe upsets, leading to preregulated by specific genetic effects and cytochemical terning of brain fiber pathways and connections, all strictly velopment, we now see a very precise and highly ordered patteristic of the species and functionally rather rigid. Instead of hookups, we now see a basic built-in wiring diagram, characlier doctrines. Instead of a loose, universal plasticity in brain sponse, along with other forms of learning, continues to be recbasis of morphological or serological traits. The conditioned reherited behavior patterns, just as it can be worked out on the entire evolutionary tree can be worked out on the basis of inaffinities. Where there used to be an outright denunciation of previously supposed. especially in man, but only within limits much narrower than ognized, of course, as a highly powerful modeling influence, the whole concept of "instinct," we now accept the idea that an Much of the basic scientific thinking and evidence behind

meated even the neighboring scientific disciplines. What impliguessed. It is still too soon for the implications to have fully perin the direction of inheritance. How far it will go can only be here, the pendulum of opinion continues at this date to swing Within the specialized fields of scientific inquiry involved

> cent of human nature and mind is a product of experience and cal environmentalism that used to tell us that literally 99 per Watsonian conditioned-reflex theory of the psyche, with its radiist, materialist approach discussed above, the old Pavlovianwe should renounce, along with other aspects of the behaviorcase, it would seem that the evidence available today says that take much longer to evaluate. The latter, of course, will have to have, if any, for more distant problems in the social sciences will cations these changes in the basic brain-behavior sciences may be worked out in their own right and at their own level. In any

only remind my readers that the peck-order of causal entities could be extended much further into matters far removed from of which must be properly credited with many of man's most redoes not stop within the individual brain but goes on up into markable and fantastic achievements. higher levels involving society and culture, various subentities those in which brain researchers feel at all comfortable. Let me Our re-examination of the materialist doctrine in psychology

surplus and its by-products on the hard-won and painstaking dynamics to tell us that the optimum carrying capacity of our impulse can be brought to bear. until some higher force in our mental hierarchy than natural achievements of eons of evolution, we are inclined to forget our ity, dignity, meaning, and value for the human individual globe is perhaps already exceeded from the standpoint of qualworth of the individual. We do not need the third law of psychothe devastating downgrading effect of surplus numbers on the whelmingly counteracted by the cold laws of mathematics and that any attempt to upgrade human nature through a more battle in the face of mounting humanity — effort down the drain, the human betterment efforts of our times, as just another losing little ideological skirmish with materialism, along with most of When we look at the rising threat posed by the effects of human idealistic conception of mind is bound these days to be over-Reference to society brings, of course, the pressing reminder

When it comes to the future outlook and an attempt to make

published and man becomes acquainted with what he is suphampered by a technical difficulty, in that once the prediction is or who will outbreed whom, or any of the other problems we count and is apt to be just perverse enough to do the reverse. posed to do, he is in a position to take the prediction into acpredictions regarding the future of man, behavioral science is ise to be settled shortly in that final fatal flare of fission fireworks. have touched on above, because these and related matters promfuture generations need not really worry about surplus numbers, Keeping this in mind, we can forecast that our generation and

of the foregoing is simple for scientist and humanist alike: that is how meanings arise — that the humanist formerly thought ideals, and meaning in human endeavor. The noble, free, or dicts nor degrades but rather affirms age-old humanist values, tive, explanatory model of brain function that neither contrawe can say in summary that it is possible to see today an objecpact of creeping materialism in the brain-behavior sciences -Finally, for those who like to receive a take-home lesson, that much as history and common experience have always shown. he could see in man and his activities are present in our model. heroic, the exalted or sublime, qualities - or the opposite, for Never underestimate the power of an ideal. But to return to the central concern of this essay - the im-

RELATED ARTICLES BY THE AUTHOR

"Embryogenesis of Behavioral Nerve Nets," in Organogenesis, ed. R. L. DeHaan and H. Ursprung (New York: Holt, Rinehart, & "The Great Cerebral Commissure," Scientific American, CCX (1964). Winston, Inc., 1965).

"Chemoaffinity in the Orderly Growth of Nerve Fiber Patterns and Connections," Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, L (1963), 703-10.

sey (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1958), 401-24. "Neurology and the Mind-Brain Problem," American Scientist, XL (1952), 291-312. "Physiological Plasticity and Brain Circuit Theory," in Biological and Biochemical Bases of Behavior, ed. H. F. Harlow and C. N. Wool-