# **COM 200 Chapter Five Worksheet**

The purpose of these worksheets is to provide brief application of the more important concepts in each chapter. You MUST read the entire chapter to be successful in the course! However, completing the chapter worksheets will prepare for you upcoming class activities and touch pad quizzes. You should have written responses to the questions offered ready to discuss with classmates and hand in if called upon.

<ol> <li>For each issue below decide if it is an issue reliability or validity. Place an R or a V in the blank to indicate your answer.</li> </ol>
A In another COM course your group is doing a content analysis on political bumper sticker and has a brief discussion about what counts as a bumper sticker and decides that, for your group, non-voluntary stickers such as parking decals don't count. Only voluntary stickers count.  B Your group is working on the final probe and decides to use two existing instruments to measure key variables because they are each closely tied to major theories in the field.
2. Let's assume that for RP 2 you are creating a study that surveys "opposite party children" to see if Democrat children who come from Republican parents communicate differently with their parents about politics than Republican children who come from Democratic parents. You create a draft of your questionnaire and then show it to members of other COM 200 groups to check it for their perceptions of validity. What type of validit are you emphasizing?
3. Read the ethics panel box on p. 90: If the SAT does not claim to measure "intelligence" what type of validit are they and colleges using to support using the SAT for admissions?
Review NOIR for the next two questions.
4. If we show three different website designs and ask respondents to pick the ONE they prefer, at what level have we measured website preference?
5. If we ask respondents to rate four sources of news (Radio, TV, Print, Internet) for perceived credibility on five-point scale such as this: "Not credible 1 2 3 4 5 Highly Credible" what level are we measuring the credibility of each source?
Complete the questionnaire on pages 2-3 of this worksheet. Be sure to score it as well.  MY SCORE IS
Now answer the questions below:
8. Identify the four nominal variables in the questionnaire by putting the item numbers below.
9. Are the data that will come from item 1-25 nominal, ordinal, interval or ratio?
10. Are items 1-25 are what type of scale?

**Construct: Conflict Management** 

Scale Name: Communicative Adaptability Scale - Self-Evaluation Form

**Developers: Robert Duran, University of Hartford** 

**Rating Scale:** 

5 = always true of me 4 = often true of me 3 = sometimes true of me

2 = rarely true of me 1 = never true of me.

Directions: Put number that best reflects your self assessment next to each statement below.

## Items:

26.	I am a college:	freshman	sophomore	junior	senior			
27.	I currently attend	full time part time						
27.	college:							
		Communication	on major	not a Commu	nication major			
28.	I am:							
		Undecided or have not declared my major						
29.	I am:	female	ma	ale				

### **Description:**

The Communicative Adaptability Scale (CAS) is a self-report and observational instrument designed to measure the ability to perceive socio-interpersonal relationships and adapt one's interaction goals and behaviors accordingly. The multi-dimensional measure consists of 6 dimensions: (1) social experience, which assesses affect for and participation in varied social settings; (2) social confirmation, which taps maintenance of the other's projected social image; (3) social composure, which measures the degree to which one feels relaxed in social situations; (4) appropriate disclosure, which assesses sensitivity to the intimacy level of social exchanges; (5) articulation, which measures the appropriateness of one's syntax and semantics; and (6) wit, which taps the use of humor to diffuse social tension (Duran, 1992).

### Scoring:

**Step One:** Go back to the CAS forms and identify the items followed by (R). This indicates that the item needs to be "reverse scored." For each of these items, change the scores in the following way:

If the score is a 5, change it to a 1

If the score is a 4, change it to a 2

If the score is a 2, change it to a 4

If the score is a 1, change it to a 5

If the score is a 3, leave it marked as a 3

**Step Two:** The self-scoring table shows the items numbers that are used to measure each of the CAS factors. In the blanks to the right of the item numbers, insert the scores (after reversing as instructed above). Then, add the scores in the columns to find your overall scores for each factor. Then add those together for your overall score.

#### References:

Duran, R. L. (1983). Communicative adaptability: A measure of social communicative competence. Communication Quarterly, 31, 320-326.

Duran, R. L. (1992). Communicative Adaptability: A Review of Conceptualization and

Measurement. Communication Quarterly, 40 (3), 253-268.

### **Evaluation by Self Scoring Table**

Social Experience		Social Composure		Appropriate Disclosure		Wit		Articulation		Social Confirmation	
Item#	Item Score	ltern #	Item Score	Item#	Item Score	ltern#	Item Score	ltem #	Item Score	Item #	item Score
1		4		9		5		3		2	
6		10		11		8		7		15	
20		13		17		12		14		23	
24		16		19		18		21		27	
25		28		22		26		29		30	
Factor Score ➤		Factor Score ➤		Factor Score ➤		Factor Score ➤		Factor Score ➤		Factor Score ➤	