**Review:** 

- We have covered Values, Ethics and Basic Philosophy of Psychology as a Science
- Operational definitions of Dependent variables and Independent variables
- Fundamentals of Experimental methods and using Descriptive statistics to enhance decisions about how to do research
- Now moving into Research design

Over next several weeks, in depth examination of Experimental Designs

- -Description of each
- -Advantages and disadvantages
- -Statistical analyses associated with each

#### **Types of designs**:

Posttest only (control group)

**Pretest Posttest** 

**One-Factor between groups** 

**Repeated measure One-Factor** 

**Factorial Design** 

Mixed designs

Control Group Posttest Only design

What is a control versus

treatment/experimental group?

- Many people conduct (quasi) research without a control group
- Examples: weight loss, sleeping pills, nicotine patch, DARE

Control Group Posttest Only design

- What is a **control** versus
  - treatment/experimental group?
- Many people conduct (quasi) research without a control group
- Examples: weight loss, sleeping pills, nicotine patch, DARE
- Control Group: Totally same as Experimental except for ??? (what?)

- Control groups control for everything BUT the experimental factor (at one or several levels)
- Placebo Effect: Expectations or belief create an effect
- Sometimes known as **non-specific effects** or <u>subject-expectancy effects</u>, a so-called placebo effect (or its counterpart, the <u>nocebo</u> effect), occurs when a person's behavior is altered in some way (e.g., symptoms are alleviated or exacerbated) by an otherwise inert treatment, due to the individual *expecting* or *believing* that it will work.

• Null Hypothesis: It's <u>not</u> going to happen!

• A Priori Hypothesis: <u>Yes</u>, it will (and it may happen at several levels or intensities)

• Post Hoc Hypothesis: Um, since we were both wrong, I think this is what happened

- One tailed hypothesis
  - I think this treatment will make people <u>better</u>
  - Well, I think your treatment is a bunch of hogwash, and I think it will make people worse <sup>(3)</sup>
- Two tailed hypothesis
  - Now, now: I think the treatment will make people better <u>or</u> worse depending on the kind of illness they have
  - Note-this is not a Null hypothesis

Important:

The Direction of the hypothesis makes a difference in statistical interpretation Back to A Priori vs. Post Hoc hypotheses.....

Null hypothesis: Can be rejected, but never supported

Alternate hypotheses can be supported

- I said my treatment would make people better
- I randomly assigned half to treatment and half to control in a double blind study
- The people in the treatment group got much better than the people in the control group (p < .05) Reject the Null hypothesis</li>
- 2) The people in the treatment group look just like the control group (p > .05) Fail to reject the Null hypothesis
- Type I Error?1 chance in 20Need to replicateType II Error?Alpha level?Power?