## Ch. 4A: Religion and the State: the No-Establishment Clause

I. The genesis of church-state conflict: both institutions claim authority to regulate human behavior

## II. Overview of church-state relations

- A. **No-establishment clause**: how much separation of church and state should there be?
  - 1. Total-separation interpretation: (subordinating churches to a nonpolitical role) e.g., China, Egypt, Mexico, & Russia
  - 2. Total-union interpretation:
    - a. *Theocracy* model: e.g., Christian nationalism proposed by some members of the Christian right in the U.S. (similar to Islamic nationalism in some Muslim nations, e.g., Iran (Shiite) & Saudi Arabia (Sunni)
    - b. *Erastian* model: subordinating religion totally under state control, e.g., in fascist or communist totalitarian nations, e.g., North Korea
  - 3. Partial-separation interpretations: contemporary swing vote: Justice Anthony Kennedy
    - a. *High wall of separation*: no government aid to any *church* but government protection of *individual* religious liberty (Justices Hugo Black in 1940s; today: Justices Stephen Breyer, Ruth Bader Ginsberg, Sonia Sotomayor, and Elena Kagan)
    - b. *Accommodation* (or benevolent neutrality or nonpreferentialism): only nondiscriminatory government aid to religion -- government should aid all churches equally (Justice William O. Douglas in 1940s; today: Chief Justice John Roberts, Justices Antonin Scalia, Clarence Thomas, and Samuel Alito)
  - 4. Public opinion
- B. Free-exercise clause: how far can individuals go in claiming religion as justification for violating secular law?
  - 1. Government may restrict some religiously motivated behaviors
  - 2. Government may not restrict any religious beliefs

## III. How far can government go?

- A. Accommodation
  - 1. Washington -- revolutionary army compulsory-attendance policy for church services
  - 2. Samuel Adams -- religious proclamations as governor of MA
  - 3. Jefferson -- acceptance of religious use of municipal buildings
  - 4. Jefferson & Washington -- religious funding of religious teachers in public education
- B. Separation
  - 1. Jefferson & Washington -- no tax support for religious teachers in public education
  - 2. Madison -- no majority church in NW territories
  - 3. John Jay -- no opening prayers in Continental Congress
  - 4. Jefferson -- no proclamation for national prayer

## IV. The judicial record T4.1, pp. 80-82; T4.2, p. 83; F4.1, p. 84; F4.2, p. 85

- A. Pre-1940s: little attention to either separation or free-exercise issues
- B. Separationist Era: 1940s-1970s; Accommodationist Era (1970s-present)
  - 1. No-establishment (separation v. accommodation) cases generally more controversial than free-exercise cases
    - a. **Judicial tests** for no-establishment cases
      - (1) "Lemon" rule (all three must be met to uphold a law, only one need fail to strike down a law and the burden of proof is on the government)
        - (a) Primarily secular purpose
        - (b) Primarily secular result
        - (c) No excessive entanglement of government in religion or of religion in government
      - (2) "Children v. adults" rule:
        - (a) Higher wall of separation between church and state for public-school children;
        - (b) More accommodation of government aid to religion when
          - i) Only adults are involved or when
          - ii) Public aid is for secular instruction in parochial schools
    - b. The No-Establishment Clause has generally come to mean that government:
      - (1) Cannot authorize a government-approved church,
      - (2) And cannot without a compelling secular reason pass laws that aid or favor one religion over another,
      - (3) And cannot without a compelling secular reason pass laws that favor religious belief over non-belief,
      - (4) And cannot for any reason force a person to profess or renounce a religious belief.

In short, government must be neutral toward religion and cannot be excessively entangled with any religion – especially when children are present in public schools.

- c. General trend: separation the wall is raised mainly when children are present in public schools
  - (1) *McCollum v. Board of Education* (1948): struck down a Champaign, IL policy allowing voluntary and privately funded religious instruction in public schools during regular class times (compare to the *Zorach* ruling below, #2)
  - (2) Engel v. Vitale (1962): struck down a NY law requiring public-school teachers to read a state-composed prayer
  - (3) Abington Township School District v. Schempp (1963): struck down a PA law requiring the reading of Bible verse and the recitation of the Lord's Prayer in public schools
  - (4) Eperson v. AK (1968): struck down a state law prohibiting the teaching of evolution in public schools
  - (5) **Lemon v. Kurtzman** (1971): struck down a state law contributing to parochial-school teachers' salaries (even for secular instruction because of excessive entanglement of government in hiring, promoting, firing decisions)
  - (6) Committee for Public Education v. Nyquist (1973): struck down a state law financing parochial-school maintenance and reimbursing parents for tuition
  - (7) Levitt v. Committee for Public Education (1973): struck down a state law reimbursing parochial schools for the cost of educational testing required by the state (compare to the Wolman ruling below, #6)
  - (8) *Meek v. Pittenger* (1975): struck down a state law lending instructional materials other than textbooks to parochial-school students
  - (9) Wolman v. Walter (1977): struck down an Ohio law paying parochial schools for field trips, some instructional materials and equipment
  - (10) Stone v. Graham (1980): struck down KY law requiring posting of Ten Commandments in public schools
  - (11) Bradshaw v. Hall (1981): held unconstitutional printing a "motorists' prayer" on state maps
  - (12) Treen v. Karen B. (1982): struck down a law that allowed voluntary organized prayer in public schools
  - (13) Larkin v. Grendel's Den (1982): held unconstitutional a municipal ordinance that gave churches veto power over liquor license applications for sites near the church
  - (14) Wallace v. Jaffree (1985): struck down an AL law requiring silent meditation in public schools because of the legislature's explicit intent to reintroduce state-sponsored prayer into the public-school curriculum (compare to *Brown v. Gilmore*, #12 below)
  - (15) Grand Rapids v. Ball and Aguilar v. Fenton (1985): struck down public funding of even part-time or remedial teaching in parochial schools
  - (16) Edwards v. Aguillard (1987): struck down a LA law that required the teaching of creation science alongside evolution in public schools
  - (17) Allegheny v. ACLU (1989): struck down a Pittsburgh, PA Christmas creche displayed alone at a courthouse (compare to Lynch, Allegheny, and Capitol Square Review, #8, #9, and #11 below)
  - (18) Swaggart Ministries v. Board of Equalization (1990): upheld a CA sales tax imposed on religious materials (compare to Waltz and Mueller, #5 and #7 below)
  - (19) Lee v. Weisman (1992): struck down a RI middle-school policy of organizing a "non-denominational" prayer at public-school graduation exercises
  - (20) Santa Fe Independent School District v. Doe (2000): struck down a TX public high school's policy of sponsoring student-led, student-initiated prayer at football games (on school property, at a school-sponsored event, over the school's public-address system, by a speaker representing the student body, under the supervision of school faculty, operating according to school policy)
- d. **Exceptions**: *accommodation* the wall is often lowered *when children are provided public aid for secular (not religious) instruction in parochial schools or in many other settings where adults are present* 
  - (1) Everson v. Board of Education (1947): upheld a NJ law compensating parents of all children, including those attending parochial schools, for bus transportation
  - (2) Zorach v. Clausen (1952): upheld a NY City law releasing students from public-school classes to attend religious classes somewhere else
  - (3) McGowan v. MD (1961): upheld a MD blue law imposing Sunday-closing restrictions
  - (4) Board of Education v. Allen (1968): upheld a NY law providing the same free (secular) textbooks to both public and parochial-school students
  - (5) Waltz v. NY (1971): upheld a state law exempting religious property from property taxes
  - (6) Wolman v. Walter (1977): upheld an Ohio law reimbursing parochial schools for diagnostic and therapeutic services and some standardized test materials
  - (7) Mueller v. Allen (1983): upheld a MN law providing income tax deductions for the cost of tuition, secular textbooks, and transportation for elementary and secondary students in public or parochial schools
  - (8) Lynch v. Donnelly (1984): upheld a Pawtucket, RI Christmas nativity display placed among other holiday symbols
  - (9) Allegheny v. ACLU (1989): upheld a Pittsburgh, PA Chanukah menorah placed among other holiday symbols, including Christian symbols [compare to case # 17 above]
  - (10) Rosenberger v. VA (1995): struck down a UVA regulation that denied funds to public-university student organizations that promoted a religious perspective. The majority ruled that UVA's regulation too narrowly interpreted the no-establishment clause and that "religion-neutral" state funding was ok.
  - (11) Capitol Square Review and Advisory Board v. Pintette (1995): struck down an Ohio board's ruling that prohibited the KKK from placing a cross on the state's capitol square at Christmas time with other religious symbols.
  - (12) Brown v. Gilmore (2001): let stand a VA statute authorizing a moment-of-silence in public schools