Team approach wins points with workers

By Kara K. Choquette USA TODAY

WEST HENRIETTA, N.Y. - Four assembly lines roll until midnight at Diamond Packaging Services Division without a supervisor in sight.

Instead, about 20 temporary workers take direction from a team of 11 full-time colleagues. The team decides who will fold cartons and who will fill boxes. The team tracks product quality and profit. The team decides when to call it a night.

The team approach, which Diamond began developing in 1995, has boosted employee satisfaction and increased the company's productivi-

ty, quality and sales

It also helped the company win the 1998 RIT/USA TODAY Quality Cup for small business.

T've never seen a team so absolutely autonomous," marveled Janet Barnard, a Quality Cup judge and professor at the Rochester Institute of Technology College of Business. "They were head and shoulders above all the nominees I saw.'

Diamond Packaging, a family business since 1973, created the Packaging Services Division (PSD) in 1989 to fill the cardboard boxes it made. But PSD broke off in 1994 to become an

independent profit center.

Manager Kirsten Voss knew she had to change the top-down management structure to survive. PSD's business is based on getting last-minute jobs from clients, such as Westwood-Squibb and Polaroid, that need extra help packaging their pharmaceutical or photographic products. There isn't time to work through long management chains. 'We have to be flexible and re-

sponsive," says Voss, who employs 45 people. "We couldn't do this without

To motivate the teams, PSD also created a daily scorecard that each assembly line on each shift uses. It measures performance in five areas: profit (maximum 45 points), quality (35), cleanliness (20), training (15) and safety (5).

For every 100 points scored, a \$5 token is set aside. When employees earn \$1,000, the money is divided among all the full-time employees.

"It makes everyone really aware of what we're doing," says Anne Faulhaber, who posts scores for everyone to see. "It's more rewarding."

Teams also set a weekly scorecard goal for themselves - and decide how they'll be rewarded if they meet it. For example, if the average weekly score for all shifts reached 110, all shifts got to leave an hour early on Friday, with pay.



What the team did:

They operate multiple packaging assembly lines without direct supervision or management support, increasing the company's profitability, flexibility and quality.

What a judge said:

The management leadership saw a need for having a team and gave them the authority, the ability, to make it work. And it's a self-directed team — that makes it stand out. They were really, really impressive. It really is ordinary people doing extraordinary things

Mary Nell McCorquodale, president, McC Consulting

"It becomes very clear what the objective is," Voss says, "That drives not just production but quality, training and safety." Since the team scorecards started in 1996:

 Employees have developed three times as many job skills. Everyone has a training and development plan.

▶ Employees can get points for their scorecards if they turn in ideas on how to improve operations. The number of ideas is up 52%.

▶ The average score per card increased from 86 to 94. Employees are on pace to exceed 100 this year.

Employees earned twice as much reward money, \$5,220, and are on pace to earn \$8,200 this year.

Customer complaints fell 25%.

PSD, which wasn't profitable in 1995, increased profits 350% from 1996 to 1997.

PSD's revenue also jumped from \$2.4 million in 1996 to \$3.4 million last year. However, the number of late deliveries - four - didn't increase. And so far this year, no deliveries have been missed.

Whether they are thinking about how to prevent errors or how to be better tomorrow, they are always making small, incremental ments each day," Voss says.

'You have an incentive now," says Floyd Reeves, at a machine that will slip plastic sleeves over 25,000 film canisters before midnight. "You get to make more decisions.

Office ethics: Teams make it hard to tattle

"If you tell, it would make it

Maine. "It's truth vs. loyalty. Is it right to tell the truth and lose harder to work in a small group." A February survey by the So-

ed to illegal or unethical acfound 48% of employees admit-Those who do report prob-

Employees who do report un-ethical behavior should get fol-

ow-up word that their input

vas taken seriously.

Whether an employee comes orward can have a lot to do

to provide confidential informa-

ion without fear of retribution.

the Ethics Officer Association,

1997 study, sponsored in part by

ions the previous year. ems, he says, risk being

ciety for Human Resource Management found that 21% of

ment, swipes cash or sneaks

trade secrets to the competi

tion. Do you tell the boss?

A co-worker fakes a docu-

By Stephanie Armour

workers said they did not report

misconduct by a colleague.

And 96% of those who failed

to turn in a co-worker for unethical behavior didn't tell for one

The rise in employee teams

That may depend on whether

you work alone or on a team.

has some experts worried that unethical behavior is going un-

Even people who want to do the right thing worry that turn-Managers say they strive to shunned by others on eam if word gets out.

ing in a team member reflects badly on the whole group. ial," says Joe White, 43, a diset workers know they should "It has to be kept confidenell if something is amiss.

Benicia, Calif., says employees call him wondering what to do.

sultant at The Work Doctor in Gary Namie, employee con-

vith corporate culture. Bosses can pressure team workers to

keep quiet.
It's important, some experts

say, to have an open environment where workers feel safe voicing concerns.

"They have a fear they'll be seen as divisive," Namie says. trict manager at MQS, a quality-assurance firm in Roxana, III. 'A lot of the employees know tion that they weren't a team reason: They feared the accusa-

Experts say that reluctance makes sense. Those working on "You know them, and you're more dependent on one another," says Ed Petry, executive di-Blame it on peer pressure.

"We're social animals, and we so very much want to belong." closed doors. But a lot of times, it's hard for them." they can talk to me behind "It certainly is an issue," says a team often forge close ties.

And there are reasons for managers to be on guard. A

Graham Phaup, at the Institute for Global Ethics in Camden,

rector of the Ethics Officer As-

association in Belmont, Mass.

sociation, a professional

eams: Peer pressure may nake employees less apt to act Experts recommend that companies draft ethics policies

and give team members a way

oicing concerns.

They also say there may be thical advantages to having unethically in the first place.