

natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$

integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

rational numbers $\mathbb{Q} = \{\frac{p}{q} : p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0\}$

rational repeating or terminating decimals

$$\begin{array}{r}
 7 \overline{) 28} \\
 \underline{21} \\
 70 \\
 \underline{70} \\
 0
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \frac{3}{7} = .\overline{428571}$$

$x = .42857$

$100000x = 42857.\overline{42857}$

$x = .\overline{42857}$

~~99999~~ $99999x = 42857$

$x = \frac{42857}{99999}$

$x = .\overline{420703}$

~~When~~ $10^6 x = 420703.\overline{703}$

$10^3 x = 420.\overline{703}$

~~999~~ $999000x = \overline{420283}$

$x = \frac{420283}{999000}$

.101001000100001000001 ----
1 3 6 10

neither terminating,
nor repeating

irrational = numbers that are not rational

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$
 Thm: If $2|p^2$, then $2|p$.

Proof: Assume $2 \nmid p$
 $p = 2k+1$
 $p^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1$
 $p^2 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$
 $\therefore 2 \nmid p^2$.

Parallel: Let q be prime and $p \in \mathbb{N}$
 Thm: If $q|p^2$, then $q|p$.

Proof: Assume $q \nmid p$
 $p = qk + m$ $0 < m < q-1$
 $p^2 = q^2 k^2 + 2qkm + m^2$
 $p^2 = q(2qk^2 + 2km) + m^2$
 $\therefore q|p^2 \Rightarrow q|m^2$
 $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$ prime factors
 $m^2 = m_1^2 m_2^2 \dots m_r^2$
 q not in prime factorization
 $\nexists m^2$
 $\therefore q \nmid p^2$

$\sqrt{2}$ is irrational
 Assume $\sqrt{2}$ is rational

$\exists p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ no common factors
 $\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$
 $2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$
 $p^2 = 2q^2$
 $2|p^2 \Rightarrow 2|p \Rightarrow p = 2k$
 $p^2 = 4k^2 = 2q^2 \Rightarrow 2k^2 = q^2$
 $\therefore 2|q^2 \Rightarrow 2|q$
 $\therefore p, q$ have a common factor

$\sqrt{5}$ is irrational
 Assume $\sqrt{5}$ is rational

$\sqrt{5} = \frac{p}{q}$
 $5 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$
 $p^2 = 5q^2$
 $5|p^2 \Rightarrow 5|p \Rightarrow p = 5k$
 $p^2 = 25k^2 = 5q^2 \Rightarrow 5k^2 = q^2$
 $\therefore 5|q^2 \Rightarrow 5|q$
 but p, q have no common factors

major themes of 1.1, 1.2, 1.3

- 1.1 \mathbb{R} is an ordered field
- 1.2 (more about the order relation $<$)
- 1.3 \mathbb{R} is a complete ordered field.

consequence: Thm 1.20 Every real number has a decimal expansion.

field nonempty F , two operations $+$, $*$

- closure $\forall x, y \in F$
 - comm. $\textcircled{1} x+y = y+x$
 - assoc. $\textcircled{2} (x+y)+z = x+(y+z)$
 - identity $\forall x \in F$
 - inverse $\textcircled{3} \forall x \in F \exists y \in F \rightarrow x+y=0$
 - distributive $\forall x, y, z \in F$
- $\textcircled{4} x+0 = x$
 - $\textcircled{5} \forall x \neq 0, \exists y \in F \rightarrow xy=1$
 - $\textcircled{6} xy \in F$
 - $\textcircled{7} xy = yx$
 - $\textcircled{8} (xy)z = x(yz)$
 - $\textcircled{9} x1 = x$
 - $\textcircled{10} x(y+z) = xy + xz$

Mention Thomas Harriot - Wiki article
 Known for \bullet Introducing symbols for "is less than" ($<$)
 and "is greater than" ($>$)
 \bullet Translation of the Carolina Algonquian language into English.

Def: relation $<$ on a set S \neq
 $\textcircled{1} \forall x, y \in S$, then exactly one is true $x < y$, $x = y$, or $y < x$ trichotomy
 $\textcircled{2} \forall x, y, z \in S$, if $x < y$ and $y < z$, then $x < z$ transitivity
 ordered set

Def ordered field field with order $<$ plus
 $\textcircled{1} \forall 0 < x$ and $0 < y$, then $0 < x+y$
 $\textcircled{2} \forall 0 < x$ and $0 < y$, then $0 < xy$
 $\textcircled{3} x < y$ if and only if $0 < y-x$.

- Page 8-9 Page 17-18
- Homework:
- 3c #12 Wednesday
 - 4c #17 Friday
 - 6 Monday
 - 7 Monday
 - 8
 - 10 Monday
 - 20

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

1.4 $-|a| \leq a \leq |a|$

$$|ab| = |a||b|$$

$$|-a| = |a|$$

Let $c > 0$ $|a| < c$ iff $-c < a < c$
 $|a| \leq c$ iff $-c \leq a \leq c$

Triangle Inequality

$$|a+b| \leq |a| + |b|$$

Reverse " "

$$||a| - |b|| \leq |a - b|$$

Theorem 1.7 Let x be a real number. If $|x| < \epsilon \forall \epsilon > 0$, then $x = 0$.

Proof: By contradiction let $x \neq 0$.

$\therefore \frac{|x|}{2} > 0$. Let $\frac{|x|}{2} = \epsilon$.

$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k b_k$
 $\sum_{k=1}^m a_k^2$
 $\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^2$

$$|x| < \frac{|x|}{2}$$

$\therefore x = 0$.

$$a + ar + \dots + ar^{n-1} = a \frac{r^n - 1}{r - 1}$$

(31)

$$0 \leq P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k - x b_k)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 - 2x \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + x^2 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2$$

$$P'(x) = -2 \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + 2x \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 = 0$$

$$x = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2}$$

$$0 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 - 2 \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2} + \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 - \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2}$$

- bounded above
- upper bound
- bounded below
- lower bound
- bounded
- unbounded

Examples

Df: Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $S \neq \emptyset$.

a) Suppose S is bounded above

$\beta = \sup S$ if β is an upper bound for S
 and if $x < \beta$, then x is not an upper bound for S
 (if x is an upper bound for S , then $x \geq \beta$)
 $\therefore \beta$ is the least upper bound $\beta = \sup S$

b) Suppose S is bounded below

$\alpha = \inf S$ if α is a lower bound for S
 and if $\alpha < x$, then x is not a lower bound for S .

see text argument that $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0 \text{ and } x^2 \leq 2\}$
 is nonempty bounded but has no ~~sup~~ ^{sup} ~~max~~ ^{max}

Completeness Axiom: Each nonempty set of real numbers that is bounded above has a supremum.

Theorem 1.6 Arch Property

① If $a, b > 0$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \exists na > b$

Proof: $a > 0$, take $n = \mathbb{R}$
 since $0 < a < b$ and $\forall n \quad na \leq b$
 $\therefore S = \{na : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ bounded above by b
 $\therefore \beta = \sup S$ exists
 $\beta - a < \beta$
 $\therefore \beta - a$ is not an upper bound
 $\therefore \exists p \in \mathbb{N} \exists pa > \beta - a$
 $\therefore (p+1)a > \beta$
 but $(p+1)a \in S$
 $\therefore \beta$ not an upper bound

Theorem 1.17 TFAE

① $a, b > 0, \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \nexists ma > b$

② \mathbb{N} is not bounded above

③ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists m \in \mathbb{Z} \nexists m \leq x < m+1$

④ $\forall x > 0, \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \nexists \frac{1}{m} < x$

Proof (2) \Rightarrow (3)
(4) \Rightarrow (1) in book

(1) \Rightarrow (2)

(3) \Rightarrow (1) ~~exercise~~ exercise

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose \mathbb{N} bounded above by b

Take $a = 1$

$\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \quad m \cdot 1 > b$

$\therefore \mathbb{N}$ not an upper bound

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $x > 0$ By (3) $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z} \nexists m \leq x < m+1$

$\therefore 0 < x < m+1$

$\therefore m+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\frac{1}{m+1} < x$

Theorem 1.18 Let $x < y, \exists p, q \in \mathbb{N} \nexists x < \frac{p}{q} < y$

Proof: $x < y \Rightarrow 0 < y-x$
 $\exists q \in \mathbb{N} \nexists q(y-x) > 1$

$n \quad 1 < qy - qx$

$\exists p \in \mathbb{Z} \nexists qx < p < qy \Rightarrow x < \frac{p}{q} < y$

Apply same argument to $\sqrt{2}x < \sqrt{2}y \Rightarrow \sqrt{2}x < \frac{p}{q} < \sqrt{2}y$

Also rational

$x < \frac{p}{\sqrt{2}q} < y$

Theorem 1.20 Each positive real number has a decimal expansion.

Proof: Let $x > 0$.

By Arch $\exists d_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow d_0 \leq x < d_0 + 1$

Let $d_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow d_1$ is the largest integer $\rightarrow d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} \leq x$

(Note: $0 \leq d_1 \leq 9$ for if $10 \leq d_1$, then $d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} \geq d_0 + 1 > x$)

Define d_2 largest integer $\rightarrow d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} + \frac{d_2}{10^2} \leq x$

(Note $d_2 \leq 9$, if $d_2 \geq 10$, then $d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} + \frac{d_2}{10^2} \geq d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} > x$)

Define $d_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\} \rightarrow$

$$d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} + \dots + \frac{d_n}{10^n} \leq x \quad \text{and} \quad d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} + \dots + \frac{d_{n+1}}{10^{n+1}} > x$$

Let $D = \{d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} + \dots + \frac{d_n}{10^n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} \neq \emptyset$

and D has an upper bound x .

$\therefore \beta = \sup D$ exists and $\beta \leq x$

Now we will show that $\beta = x$.

Assume to the contrary that $\beta < x$

By Arch $\exists p \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p} < x - \beta$.

$$\therefore x < d_0 + \frac{d_1}{10} + \dots + \frac{d_p}{10^p} + \frac{1}{10^p} \leq \beta + \frac{1}{10^p} < \beta + \frac{1}{p} < x$$

this is a contradiction. $\therefore \beta = x$

$$\therefore d_0 \cdot d_1 \dots d_p \leq x = \beta < d_0 \cdot d_1 \dots d_p + \frac{1}{10^p}$$

$$\therefore x = d_0 \cdot d_1 \cdot d_2 \dots$$

Section 1.3 Page 27 (Some easy proofs)

① Prove that the set $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 10\sqrt{x} - x > 0\}$ is bounded

Note that $S \subseteq [0, +\infty)$ since $x \in S$ for \sqrt{x} to be defined

$\therefore 0$ is a lower bound for S

Suppose $x \in S$, then $10\sqrt{x} - x > 0$

$$10\sqrt{x} > x$$

$$10 > \sqrt{x}$$

$$100 > x$$

$\therefore 100$ is an upper bound for S

$\therefore S$ is bounded because $\forall x \in S, x = |x| < 100$.

② Prove that the union of two bounded sets is a bounded set.

Proof: Suppose A and B are bounded.

$\therefore \exists M_1 > 0 \forall x \in A, |x| \leq M_1$

$\exists M_2 > 0 \forall x \in B, |x| \leq M_2$

Let $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$.

Let $x \in A \cup B$. If $x \in A$ then $|x| \leq M_1 \leq M$

If $x \in B$ then $|x| \leq M_2 \leq M$.

$\therefore \forall x \in A \cup B, |x| < M$.

③ Prove that a nonempty set that is bounded above has only one supremum.

Proof: Let $S \neq \emptyset$ and $\exists M > 0 \forall x \in S, |x| < M$.

By the Completeness Axiom $\exists \beta_1 = \sup S$

Suppose $\exists \beta_2 = \sup S \neq \beta_1 < \beta_2$.

By definition β_1 is not an upper bound for S .

This is a contradiction $\therefore \beta_2 \leq \beta_1$. A similar argument shows $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$.

$\therefore \beta_1 = \beta_2$.

(12) Let S be a nonempty set of real numbers that is bounded above and let $\beta = \sup S$. Prove that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a point $x \in S$ with $x > \beta - \epsilon$.

Proof: Let $S \neq \emptyset$ and that S is bounded above and $\beta = \sup S$.
 Suppose the conclusion is false,
 i.e. $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $\forall x \in S, x \leq \beta - \epsilon$.

Then $\beta - \epsilon$ is an upper bound for S

$$\text{and } \beta = \sup S \leq \beta - \epsilon \Rightarrow 0 < -\epsilon$$

$$\text{or } \epsilon < 0.$$

this is a contradiction to $\epsilon > 0$.

\therefore the conclusion is true.

Homework for Friday August 31.

Page 28, 29

15, 19, 26, 27, 28, 29

Homework for Wednesday, September 5

Page 28 #25

Page 35 ^{problems} 3, 4, 17